

Instanton-dyon Ensemble with two Dynamical Quarks: the Chiral Symmetry Breaking

Rasmus Larsen and Edward Shuryak

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook NY 11794-3800, USA

This is the second paper of the series aimed at understanding the ensemble of instanton-dyons, now with two flavors of light dynamical quarks. The partition function is appended by the fermionic factor, $(\det T)^{N_f}$ and Dirac eigenvalue spectra at small values are derived from the numerical simulation of 64 and 128 dyons. Those spectra show clear chiral symmetry breaking pattern at high dyon density.

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Instanton-dyons and confinement

At high temperatures QCD matter is in form of quark-gluon plasma (QGP) state, which is weakly coupled because of the asymptotic freedom phenomenon. The topological solitons to be discussed below have large action $S = O(1/\alpha_s) \gg 1$ and are therefore strongly suppressed, $\sim \exp(-S)$. However, as T decreases toward the deconfinement transition, the coupling grows and such objects become important.

The non-trivial configurations of interest are Instantons [1], which in the Euclidean finite temperature formulation are known as Calorons. Such solutions have been generalized to the case of non-zero expectation value of the Polyakov loop by Lee-Li-Kraan-van Baal in refs [2, 3] and are known as LLKvB calorons. An important novel feature of these solution was the realization of instanton substructure: each LLKvB caloron consists of N_c objects, known as instanton-dyons (or instanton-monopoles).

Color confinement phenomenon has many manifestations, and thus many definitions. In this series of papers we focus on one particular aspect of it, namely on the shift of the vacuum expectation value of the Polyakov loop from its “trivial value” $\langle P \rangle \approx 1$ at high T to small $\langle P \rangle \approx 0$ at $T < T_c$. Multiple numerical simulations in the framework of lattice gauge theory have documented such shift, as well as modification of the effective potential $V(P, T)$ with T leading to it. Since a contribution of the quarks (and non-diagonal gluons) to thermodynamical quantities is proportional to (powers) of $\langle P \rangle$, vanishing of it, effectively switches off quark-gluon plasma contributions. So, in papers of this series we focus on the calculation of this effective potential and on the deconfinement phase transition phenomenon.

Another manifestation of confinement is a disordering of large Wilson loops. It has been argued in [4] that an ensemble of instanton-dyons can generate the expected area law. However, this issue is rather subtle and depends on the infrared tails of the soliton fields, which are modified by screening effects and thus are not robust enough to be conclusive. One more approach to the confinement issue is reached via the static quark potentials, which do exist at any T and were extensively studied on the lattice. We intend to calculate those in our approach later. Finally, a classic formulation of confinement in-

cludes absence of color degrees of freedom from vacuum spectra, at $T = 0$. Addressing it directly is not possible for the type of models we discuss, since the calorons and instanton-dyons themselves become difficult to use at sufficiently low T .

The idea that the effective potential of the Polyakov loop P is due to the back reaction of the instanton-dyons goes back to Diakonov and collaborators [5], who provided the first estimates indicating how this may happen, but were unable to prove it. Using the so called “double-trace deformation of Yang-Mills theory”, at large N on $S^1 \times R^3$, Unsal and Yaffe [6] argued that there can be confining behavior, with unbroken center symmetry, even in weak coupling. This construction was extended by Unsal and collaborators [7–9] to a class of deformed supersymmetric theories with soft supersymmetry breaking. In such a setting, with weak coupling and an exponentially small density of the dyons, the minimum of the potential is at the confining value of P induced by the repulsive interaction in the dyon-anti-dyon pairs (called *bions* by the authors). (The supersymmetry was needed to cancel the perturbative Gross-Pisarski-Yaffe-Weiss (GPYW) holonomy potential, which otherwise favors trivial value $\langle P \rangle = 1$. Sulejmanpasic and one of us [10] have proposed a simple model, with “repulsive cores” in the dyon-antidyon channel, which can generate confining $V(P)$ at certain temperature T_c in pure gauge theory.

To evaluate the free energy of the instanton-dyon ensemble we performed numerical simulations for pure gauge $SU(2)$ theory, in the first paper of this series [11], to be below referred as I. The essential element was inclusion of dyon-antidyon interactions, determined in the previous work [12] using a gradient flow method. A similar conclusion has been recently reached by Liu, Shuryak and Zahed [13] using analytic mean field theory. It however uses the mean field approximation which is only applicable for high enough dyon density, or $T < T_c$.

B. Quarks in the instanton-dyon ensemble

In this paper we include quarks, fermions in the fundamental color representation, to the instanton-dyon ensemble. Those will be referred to as “dynamical quarks”, since the so called fermionic determinant will be included in the ensemble measure.

The topological objects, instantons and instanton-

dyons, have a certain number of 4-dimensional zero modes prescribed by the topological index theorems. Topology ensures that any smooth deformation of the objects themselves does not shift fermionic eigenvalues from zero.

When the ensemble of topological solitons is dense enough, the fermionic zero modes can collectivize and produce the so called Zero Mode Zone (ZMZ). For an ensemble of instantons this phenomenon has been studied in great detail in the 1980's and 1990's, for a review see [14]. The main physical phenomenon associated with ZMZ is the spontaneous breaking of the $SU(N_f)$ chiral symmetry, “chiral breaking” for short.

	M	\bar{M}	L	\bar{L}
e	1	1	-1	-1
m	1	-1	-1	1

TABLE I: Quantum numbers of the four different kinds of the instanton-dyons of the $SU(2)$ gauge theory. The two rows are electric and magnetic charges.

In the case of $SU(2)$ gauge group there are only two types of instanton-dyons, called M and L types (also known as BPS and “twisted” or KK ones), their electric and magnetic charges are given in Table I. Physical (anti-periodic in time direction) fermions have zero modes on the L dyons. The zero modes produce the simplest effect of the dynamical fermions - binding of the $\bar{L}L$ dyon pairs into “molecules”, studied by Shuryak and Sulejmanpasic [15]. The first numerical simulations with fermions were done by Faccioli and Shuryak [16], who studied 1, 2 and 4 flavor theory with the $SU(2)$ color: they found chiral symmetry breaking in the first two cases, but the last one, $N_f = 4$ appeared marginal. Many technical aspects of our work follows their setting.

Recent work by Liu, Shuryak and Zahed [17] was also devoted to the role of quarks in the dense confining instanton-dyon ensemble. Their basic conclusion is that in this regime the quark condensate, signaling chiral symmetry breaking, satisfies certain universal gap equation, which has non-zero solutions provided the number of quark flavors $N_f < 2N_c$. So, the border case for 2 colors is $N_f = 4$, which is also a near-critical one according to Ref. [16].

In the present work we focus on the simplest case with the spontaneous breaking of chiral symmetry, with only two quark flavors $N_f = 2$. The central issue addressed is interrelation between confinement and chiral symmetry breaking.

The paper is structured as follows: in section II we describe the physics of the fermionic zero modes and the technical tool – the hopping matrix – used to evaluate the determinant. We then explain the general setting of the interactions in section III. After that we show how the chiral condensate is obtained from the eigenvalue distribution in section IV and the mass gap is discussed in section IV C. The data sets used and how they were ana-

lyzed is explained in section V. We end with the physical results in section VI, where we show, among other, the Polyakov loop and the chiral condensate's dependence on temperature.

II. THE ZERO MODE ZONE

The term “dynamical quarks” in the title implies inclusion of the fermionic determinant in the measure for gauge field configurations. The main approximation made by us – similar to what was done in the instanton ensemble – is that the set of all fermionic states is translated to the subspace spanned by zero modes.

This determinant can be viewed as a sum of closed fermionic loops with “hopping amplitudes” between dyons and antidyons. Since sectors that are self-dual or anti-self-dual have its eigenvalues protected, then the overlap of L and L dyons or \bar{L} and \bar{L} dyons have to be zero. The resulting form of the “hopping matrix” is

$$\hat{\mathbf{T}} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{T}_{ij} \\ -\mathbf{T}_{ji} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

Each of the entries in \mathbf{T}_{ij} is a “hopping amplitude” for a fermion between the i -th L -dyon and the j -th \bar{L} -antidyon. The diagonal matrix elements are zero, and therefore a single or many infinitely-separated dyons will have zero determinant and “veto” such configurations. However, nonzero non-diagonal hopping matrix elements make the determinant nonzero.

The only modification of the partition function used in this work relative to that in I is the fermionic factor

$$\left(\det(\hat{\mathbf{T}}) \right)^{N_f} \quad (2)$$

Basically, $\det(\hat{\mathbf{T}})$ can be seen as a set of loop diagrams, connecting all L -dyons and antidyons of the ensemble. It can either be dominated by short loops, including small number (2,...) dyons, to be referred to as a “molecular regime”, or by very long loops, including finite fraction of the ensemble (“collectivized regime”). The former has unbroken and the latter broken chiral symmetry. It is the purpose of our simulations to determine, as a function of the dyon density, the weights of such short and long loops.

We define the individual hopping amplitude as the matrix element of the Dirac operator between different zero-mode eigenfunctions

$$T_{ij} = \langle i | \not{D} | j \rangle \quad (3)$$

where i and j are zero-modes belonging to i -th L and j -th \bar{L} dyons. If the gauge field in the Dirac operator is a sum of two solitons, using the equations of motion for both zero modes, one can reduce the covariant derivative to the ordinary derivative.

Including a mass term, changes the hopping matrix by a constant m times the identity matrix.

III. THE GENERAL SETTING

The setup is almost the same as in our paper I [11], with the difference being the inclusion of the fermionic determinant in the zero-modes approximation. This factor creates an additional fermion-induced interaction between the L type dyons.

The dimensionless holonomy $\nu = v/(2\pi T)$ is related to the expectation value of the Polyakov loop through the $(SU(2))$ relation

$$P = \cos(\pi\nu) \quad (4)$$

We seek to minimize the free energy

$$f = \frac{4\pi^2}{3}\nu^2\bar{\nu}^2 - 2n_M \ln \left[\frac{d_\nu e}{n_M} \right] - 2n_L \ln \left[\frac{d_{\bar{\nu}} e}{n_L} \right] + \Delta f \quad (5)$$

where the first term is the perturbative Gross-Pisarski-Yaffe-Weiss holonomy potential, the next terms contain semiclassical independent dyon contributions, with

$$d_\nu = \Lambda \left(\frac{8\pi^2}{g^2} \right)^2 e^{-\frac{\nu 8\pi^2}{g^2}} \nu^{\frac{8\nu}{3}-1} / (4\pi) \quad (6)$$

and $\Delta f \equiv -\log(Z_{\text{changed}})/\tilde{V}_3$ is defined via the partition function studied numerically

$$Z_{\text{changed}} = \frac{1}{\tilde{V}_3^{2(N_L+N_M)}} \int D^3x \det(G) \exp(-\Delta D_{DD}(x)) \times \prod_i \lambda_i^{N_f} \quad (7)$$

The last factor is the fermionic determinant, now written as the product of all eigenvalues of the hopping matrix T_{ij} .

Further explanation of G and ΔD_{DD} can be found in [11], and we therefore just present their expressions here without too many comments.

$$G = \delta_{mn} \delta_{ij} (4\pi\nu_m - 2 \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{e^{-M_D T|x_{i,m}-x_{k,m}|}}{T|x_{i,m}-x_{k,m}|} + 2 \sum_k \frac{e^{-M_D T|x_{i,m}-x_{k,p \neq m}|}}{T|x_{i,m}-x_{k,p \neq m}|}) + 2\delta_{mn} \frac{e^{-M_D T|x_{i,m}-x_{j,n}|}}{T|x_{i,m}-x_{j,n}|} - 2\delta_{m \neq n} \frac{e^{-M_D T|x_{i,m}-x_{j,n}|}}{T|x_{i,m}-x_{j,n}|} \quad (8)$$

Dyon 2-point interactions ΔD_{DD} is a sum over all the different dyon to dyon combinations

$$\Delta D_{DD} = \sum_{j>i} \Delta S_{D_i D_j} \quad (9)$$

where $\Delta S_{D_i D_j}$ is the correction to the action between dyon i and dyon j . If the two dyons are a dyon and its

anti-dyon, we have for distances larger than x_0

$$\Delta S_{D\bar{D}} = -2 \frac{8\pi^2\nu}{g^2} \left(\frac{1}{x} - 1.632e^{-0.704x} \right) e^{-M_D r T} \quad (10)$$

$$x = 2\pi\nu r T$$

For the rest of the combinations we have

$$\Delta S_{DD} = \frac{8\pi^2\nu}{g^2} \left(-e_1 e_2 \frac{1}{x} + m_1 m_2 \frac{1}{x} \right) e^{-M_D r T} \quad (11)$$

$$x = 2\pi\nu r T$$

where the charge is given by table I. For distances smaller than x_0 we have a core between dyon pairs of the types LL , MM , $\bar{L}\bar{L}$, $\bar{M}\bar{M}$, $L\bar{L}$ and $M\bar{M}$

$$\Delta S_{DD} = \frac{\nu V_0}{1 + \exp[\sigma T(x - x_0)]} \quad (12)$$

$$x = 2\pi\nu r T \quad (13)$$

where x_0 is the size of the dyons core. In this paper we work with $x_0 = 2$, just as in our earlier paper I. It is important to note that for M type dyons one has to use ν and for L type dyons one has to use $\bar{\nu} = 1 - \nu$.

IV. EIGENVALUE DISTRIBUTIONS AND THE CHIRAL CONDENSATE

The Banks-Casher relation for the chiral condensate tells us that, in the infinite volume limit, the chiral condensate for massless fermions is proportional to the density of eigenvalues at zero value

$$|\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle| = \pi \rho(\lambda)_{\lambda \rightarrow 0, m \rightarrow 0, V \rightarrow \infty} \quad (14)$$

For any system with a finite volume, the typical size of small eigenvalues is of size $1/V$ and the density will always be 0 at $\lambda = 0$ and $m = 0$. We see this behavior in our ensemble as seen for zero mass in Fig. 1 and 2. We also find that a finite mass as in Fig. 3 and 4 has the effect of allowing eigenvalues around zero, and if the mass is large enough, smooth the maximum of the eigenvalue distribution into the region around $\lambda = 0$.

To understand finite volume effects on the distribution, one may study those using chiral random matrix theory, for review see [18]. In principle, using expressions obtained in this framework one can recover the value of the chiral condensate in the infinite volume case.

We will be determining the chiral condensate by two different methods:

(i) The first one is based on the part of the eigenvalue distributions with the smallest λ . It requires an understanding of both the *finite volume* and *quark mass* effects on the distribution. This understanding we obtain from analytic random matrix results. We explain this approach in section IV A.

Vanishing of the condensate is used to define the ensemble parameters corresponding to chiral symmetry breaking transition, $T_{\bar{\psi}\psi}$.




FIG. 1: Eigenvalue distribution for $n_M = n_L = 0.47$, $N_F = 2$ massless fermions at $S = 7$.




FIG. 3: Eigenvalue distribution for $n_M = n_L = 0.47$, $N_F = 2$ $m = 0.01$ fermions at $S = 7$.




FIG. 2: Eigenvalue distribution for $n_M = n_L = 0.08$, $N_F = 2$ massless fermions at $S = 7$.




FIG. 4: Eigenvalue distribution for $n_M = n_L = 0.08$, $N_F = 2$ $m = 0.01$ fermions at $S = 7$.

The second strategy (ii) we will use, is based on the determination of the so called *gap width* in the distribution, near $\lambda = 0$: we will refer to it as T_{gap} . This approach is explained in section IV C.

Ideally, both critical temperatures should coincide, defining the location of the chiral symmetry breaking T_χ .

A. The finite size effects

To understand the scaling of the finite volume effects we performed simulations for 64 and 128 dyons, at the same density. (The volume of the sphere with 128 dyons being 2 times larger than the sphere of the 64 ones.) The quark mass in both simulations were set to zero. The resulting eigenvalue distributions are shown in Fig. 5.

We fit the distribution of the eigenvalues with the form taken from random-matrix theory [18] for $SU(2)$ gauge

group for massless fermions given by

$$\rho(x) = V\Sigma_2 \left[\frac{x}{2} (J_2(x)^2 - J_1(x)J_3(x)) + \frac{1}{2} J_2(x) \left(1 - \int_0^x dt J_2(t) \right) \right] \quad (15)$$

where $x = \lambda V\Sigma_1$ and J_n is the Bessel function. Both the scaling factor $V\Sigma_1$ and the overall factor $V\Sigma_2$ should be proportional to the value of the chiral condensate Σ . In the limit $V \rightarrow \infty$ the formula gives $\rho(0) \propto V\Sigma_2$ as required.

Ideally, the parameter values for two different volumes should agree. When the fits for different volumes were done, we found that the values for parameter Σ_2 agree very well indeed. (This is related to the fact that the height of the distributions at the r.h.s. of Fig. 5 do agree.)

Note that the main difference between the two distributions is a shift to the left for bigger volume. This is expected in larger volume clusters of a condensate inside which quark propagation gets larger, and the eigenvalues smaller. The formula, from random matrix theory, prescribes a particular “mesoscopic” scaling with the vol-




FIG. 5: (Color Online) The points are the eigenvalue distribution for 64 (blue circles) and 128 (red squares) dyons at $S = 8$ and density of dyons $n_M = 0.33$, $n_L = 0.20$, $N_F = 2$. The curves are the fit with eq. (15) with $\Sigma_{2,64} = 1.30 \pm 0.06$ and $\Sigma_{2,128} = 1.28 \pm 0.06$ and the scaling as $\Sigma_{1,64} = 0.79 \pm 0.05$ and $\Sigma_{1,128} = 0.51 \pm 0.04$ for these two cases, respectively. The lower purple line is the difference between the two fits. Eq. (16) gives $\Sigma = 0.38 \pm 0.13$, while the maximum of the difference between the two curves gives $\Sigma = 0.3$ after normalizing the difference (note: This approach of using the maximum of the difference between the two volumes, has not been used to analyze the data, but is simple used here to visualize the effect).

ume. However, the fit by this formula produces values of Σ_1 which are not the same. This indicates that, at least our smaller volume, is not yet in the range in which the expected large volume scaling applies.

The physics behind this behavior is as follows: there are basically two components of the ensemble, generating two different dependencies on the volume. As we already mentioned in the introduction, there is collectivized dyons, producing the condensate, and dyon-antidyon pairs. The former component produces eigenvalue distribution shifting with the volume, while the latter contribution is volume-independent.

The existence of two components lead us to construct a value of Σ out of all four parameters of the fit given by

$$\Sigma = \Sigma_2(2\Sigma_1^{128}/\Sigma_1^{64} - 1) \quad (16)$$

In the case of only almost zero-modes, from the collectivized dyons, doubling the volume should double $V\Sigma_1$. In the opposite case of only dyon-antidyon pairs, $V\Sigma_1$ should be unchanged. As can be seen in Fig. 5 the situation is sometimes in between the two extremes. The expression (16) is an interpolation between the two regimes. This resulting value of Σ will be used in the plots to follow, such as showing the temperature dependence of the condensate. We show Σ_2 , $2\Sigma_1^{128}/\Sigma_1^{64} - 1$ and Σ for the results in section VIA in Fig. 6.

As the density increases, it is seen how the scaling becomes closer and closer to that of the volume, as expected from Eq. (15), such that the limit to infinite volume gives




FIG. 6: (Color Online) Σ_2 (blue circle), $2\Sigma_1^{128}/\Sigma_1^{64} - 1$ (red square) and Σ (purple triangle) as a function of input action $S = 8\pi^2/g^2$ for the results in section VIA. It is observed how the rise in Σ_2 and $2\Sigma_1^{128}/\Sigma_1^{64} - 1$ are correlated, while, $2\Sigma_1^{128}/\Sigma_1^{64} - 1$ goes to zero for higher S while Σ_2 does not.

the chiral condensate as $\rho(0)$.

B. The effect of the quark mass

Nonzero quark mass moderates the distribution of the smaller eigenvalues. Furthermore, for $\lambda < m$ the fermions are effectively decoupled, and thus the distributions should be the same as for a quenched (no dynamical quarks) theory. The latter is known to produce a singularity at $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ observed in the instanton liquid simulations and on the lattice already in the mid-1990's.

Our simulations with the mass 0.01 produce eigenvalue distributions shown in Fig. 3 and 4. Note that, in contrast to the zero mass case, one finds a peak near zero eigenvalue. Eigenvalues outside of the range of the mass, $\lambda > m$ behave as in the massless case, as can be seen by comparing to Fig. 1 and 2. In the range of $\lambda = m$ the distribution is smoothed due to the singularity at $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. The same behavior is seen on the lattice [19], even when a gap appears.

C. Gaps of the eigenvalue distribution

At high temperatures –or very dilute dyon ensembles, in our model – the chiral symmetry remains unbroken. As it has been shown in multiple lattice simulations, in this case the Dirac eigenvalue distribution develops a finite gap, between $\lambda = 0$ and the point where the eigenvalue distribution starts to rise. Vanishing of this gap therefore provides another way of observing the location of the chiral symmetry breaking. Not to confuse it with the critical temperature obtained from the other method, we call this temperature for T_{gap} .

The procedure used is explained by an example shown in Fig. 7: we fit the distribution by a straight line, and

use its intersection with the x-axis as the measure for the gap.

The fact that a gap appears, means that the lowest excitations are not massless.




FIG. 7: The eigenvalue distribution for 64 dyons at $S = 7.5$, $\nu = 0.434$, $N_F = 2$, $n_M = 0.43$ and $n_L = 0.22$. A straight line has been fitted through point 3 to 6 from the left. The gap size is defined as the cross point with the x-axis.

V. DATA AND ANALYSIS

The setting has already been explained above. An “update cycle” is defined as a sequence of Metropolis updates of all coordinates of all dyons. Each “run” consisted of 4000 such “update cycles”, out of which the typical thermal relaxation time was of the order of 500 cycles. The “useful data” selected were the mean action values collected for the last 1000 cycles.

The free energy of the model, depending on its parameters, is determined from the integrated expectation value of the action $\langle S(\lambda) \rangle$, following a standard approach

$$e^{-F(\lambda)} = \int D\mathbf{x} e^{-\lambda S} \quad (17)$$

$$F(1) = \int_0^1 \langle S(\lambda) \rangle d\lambda + F(0) \quad (18)$$

An example of the lambda dependence is illustrated in Fig. 8. The quick descent in the expectation value of the action at small λ required more measurement points in the range $\lambda = 0..1$. Therefore we had a step size of $1/90$ until $\lambda = 0.1$, while for larger lambda the step size is increased to 0.1 . These values, shown in the upper two rows of the Table II, constitute 19 runs.

The next three rows of the Table II correspond to three parameters of the model used for free energy minimization. (Those are the value of the holonomy ν , the radius of the system defining the total dyon density and the number of M -type dyons N_M .) This three-dimensional space was scanned systematically, in a lattice form defined by min and max values and a step defined in the Table.

This was done for all values of two remaining “input parameters”, the Debye mass M_d and classical action S . This gives 67200 different combinations.

	Min	Max	Step size
λ	0	0.1	1/90
λ	0.1	1.0	0.1
ν	0.175	0.525	0.025
r	1.05	2.00	0.05
N_M	16	26	2
M_d	3	6	1
S	5	9.5	0.5

TABLE II: The input parameters used for the final run.




FIG. 8: A typical example of the expectation values of the action $\langle S \rangle$ obtained from the simulation as a function of λ . Contribution to the free energy from the overall constant $F(0)$ is not included.

A. Data Analysis

After the integration over lambda is done, the values of the free energy for each combination of parameters are determined. The main part of the data analysis is the fit, defining dependence of the free energy in the 3-dimensional space (of two dyon densities and holonomy) near its minimum. We therefore fit this set of data with a 3-dimensional parabola

$$f = (v - v_0)M(v - v_0) + f_0 \quad (19)$$

which has 10 variables. v and v_0 are 3D vectors with v containing the variables holonomy ν , radius r , and number of M dyons N_M and v_0 describing the correction to the point that were the minimum. M is a 3 times 3 matrix with $M = M^T$ containing the coefficients for the fit.

This expression was fitted to free energy values of $5^3 = 125$ points from a cube, containing 5 points around the minimum in each direction. The resulting values of

the 10 parameters fitted are used as follows: (i) v_0 and its uncertainties give the values of densities and holonomy at the minimum, plotted as results below; (ii) the diagonal component of M in the holonomy direction was converted into the value of the Debye mass M_d . An additional requirement of the procedure, to make the ensemble approximately self-consistent, is that the Debye mass from the fit should be within ± 0.5 of the used input Debye mass value.

To obtain the chiral properties – such as the Dirac eigenvalue distributions and its dependence on dyon number and volume – we only used the “dominant” configurations for each action S , defined as follows. Since N_M is always an integer, we use the value closest to that obtained from the fit. The eigenvalue distributions are then analyzed as explained in section IV A.

VI. PHYSICAL RESULTS

Accurate gauge-independent determination of the hopping matrix element Eq. (3) is, in general, not a trivial procedure. While zero modes for a single dyon are well known, combining a pair of L and \bar{L} dyons is not as simple as it is for instantons: the complication is caused by magnetic charges and the Dirac strings associated with them, transporting singular magnetic flux to their centers. Ideally those are invisible pure-gauge artifacts, whose direction is irrelevant: but it is not so for simple configurations like the sum ansatz. “Combing gauge factors”, which appear in the zero mode wave function, complicate the calculation, although numerically their effect is relatively small: see more in Appendix A of [17]. Currently we are working on solving the Dirac equation for “streamline” configurations defined in [12], but this work is not yet finished.

As a temporal solution, we use two parameterizations of the hopping matrix element. We perform simulations with both sets. The parameterizations themselves are explained in the Appendix. The physical results are, respectively, split up into two sections, one for each choice of T_{ij} . Since the overall constant c' is unknown, values of c' have been chosen, such that the transition happens around $S = 7.5$. We are actively trying to obtain c' from numerical simulations. While the different T_{ij} ’s behave similar for large distances, the behavior is different around zero. This also means that the constant c' can be different in the two cases. For these results c' was chosen such that the density of L dyons didn’t become too small, while having a smooth Polyakov loop that went to zero in the range of $S = 5 - 10$.

The plots below have two scales, on their bottom and top. The former one shows the “instanton action” parameter S , one of the major parameters of the model controlling the diluteness of the ensemble. We also indicate at the top the corresponding temperature, relative to the critical temperature T_c , chosen as $S = 7.5$. It should be noted that this is a choice, and is done in or-

der to set a scale. The real input is the action S or the coupling constant g . The temperature is found from the running coupling constant.

$$S(T) = \frac{8\pi^2}{g^2(T)} = b \cdot \ln\left(\frac{T}{\Lambda}\right), \quad b = \frac{11}{3}N_c - \frac{2}{3}N_F, \quad (20)$$

This top temperature scale is approximate and should only be used for qualitative comparison to other models and lattice data.

A. Parameterization A for T_{ij}

The results in this subsection are for

$$T_{ij} = \bar{v}c' \exp\left(-\sqrt{11.2 + (\bar{v}r/2)^2}\right) \quad (21)$$

Minimizing the free energy gives the dominating parameters for a specific action S or Temperature T . This is done for $\Lambda = 4$ and $-\text{Log}(c') = -2.60$. This gives the holonomy, the density, Fig. 9, and Debye mass, Fig. 12. The dominating configurations have been analyzed using the methods described in section IV in order to obtain the chiral condensate, which is shown together with the Polyakov loop in Fig. 10 and is also compared to the gap in Fig. 11.

We observe a smooth transition towards zero expectation value of the Polyakov loop P as temperature decreases. We also observe a non-zero value of the Chiral condensate as temperature decreases. This is a more abrupt change, though in some way still smooth. Its inflection point (change of curvature) is found around $S = 7.5$, though the transition happens between $S = 6.5 - 8$. Below $S = 7$ the results fluctuate around a constant.

The chiral symmetry breaking can also be observed through the shrinking of the gap around zero as shown together with the chiral condensate in Fig. 11. Again, thinking of the inflection points of the two curves, we conclude from it that the critical temperature for chiral condensate and the gap do coincide within errors, at the same $S = 6.5 - 8$ point.

Confinement and chiral symmetry are therefore different phenomena, but are both triggered by the increase in the density of dyons.

The Debye mass, Fig. 12, as compared to lattice results [20], is seen to be around 66% too large. This could be due to the choice of working with a hard core, or it could signal that the correct value for the size of the core is slightly larger.

B. Parameterization B for T_{ij}

The results in this subsection are for

$$T_{ij} = \bar{v}c' \frac{e^{-\bar{v}r/2}}{\sqrt{1 + \bar{v}r/2}} \quad (22)$$




FIG. 9: (Color online) Parameterization A: The density of the M (blue circles) and L (red squares) dyons as a function of action $S = 8\pi^2/g^2$ or temperature T/T_c .




FIG. 10: (Color online) Parameterization A: The Polyakov loop P (blue circles) and the chiral condensate Σ (red squares) as a function of action $S = 8\pi^2/g^2$ or temperature T/T_c . A clear rise is seen around $S = 7.5$ for the chiral condensate. Σ is scaled by 0.2. The black constant line corresponds to the upper limit of Σ under the assumption that the entire eigenvalue distribution belongs to the almost-zero-mode zone, i.e. the maximum of Σ_2 .

with $-\log(c') = -0.388$ and $\Lambda = 3.2$.

Just as for the other choice of T_{ij} discussed in the previous subsection, we obtain the parameters of density, Fig. 13, holonomy (Polyakov loop Fig. 14), and Debye mass, Fig. 16, as a function of temperature by minimizing the free energy. The chiral condensate Fig. 14 and 15, and gap width Fig. 15, have been obtained from configurations with the parameters obtained by minimizing the free energy. The main difference between the two choices of T_{ij} comes from the behavior around $r = 0$. The almost exponential behavior as shown in Eq. (22), means that L dyons become more likely at high densities. The other thing is that it is harder to make the different




FIG. 11: (Color online) Parameterization A: The gap scaled up 15 times (blue circles) and the chiral condensate Σ (red squares) as a function of action $S = 8\pi^2/g^2$ or temperature T/T_c . A clear rise/fall is seen around $S = 7 - 7.5$. We get a critical temperature from $S = 6.5 - 8$ for the condensate and $S = 6.5 - 8$ for the gap. Σ is scaled by 0.2. The black constant line is defined in the caption of Fig. 10.




FIG. 12: Parameterization A: Debye mass M_d as a function of action $S = 8\pi^2/g^2$ or temperature T/T_c .

elements in T_{ij} of similar size, which results in a scaling behavior of the chiral condensate that only becomes around $37\% \pm 10\%$ of the volume, and not 100% as with the other choice of T_{ij} . This does not mean that the chiral condensate which we show in Fig. 14 does not exist, but it does mean that we need a larger volume in this case to obtain a cleaner result. It also means that the overlap between almost-zero-modes and dyon-antidyon pairs was larger.

VII. CONCLUSION

We have performed simulations for ensembles of instanton-dyons for the setting with two colors $N_c = 2$




FIG. 13: (Color online) Parameterization B: The density of the M (blue circles) and L (red squares) dyons as a function of action $S = 8\pi^2/g^2$ or temperature T/T_c .




FIG. 14: (Color online) Parameterization B: The Polyakov loop P (blue circles) and the chiral condensate Σ (red squares) as a function of action $S = 8\pi^2/g^2$ or temperature T/T_c . Σ is scaled by 0.1. The black constant line is defined in the caption of Fig. 10.

and two quark flavors $N_f = 2$, with variable temperature (coupling constant). We have simulated the partition function for 64 and 128 dyons, calculated the free energy, and derived the values of the Polyakov loop, the chiral condensate and the gaps in the Dirac eigenvalue distributions at the free energy minimum, for each value of the main external parameter S defining the dyon density. We also observe gaps in the eigenvalue distribution which goes close to zero in the same interval as the inflection point for the chiral transition.

We find that the required condition for both the chiral symmetry breaking and confinement is basically sufficiently high density of the dyons. Furthermore, unlike in the case of pure gauge theory without quarks studied in the previous paper, the holonomy dependence on the density is smoother. We don't observe holonomy vanish-




FIG. 15: (Color online) Parameterization B: The gap scaled up 20 times (blue circles) and the chiral condensate Σ (red squares) as a function of action $S = 8\pi^2/g^2$ or temperature T/T_c . A fall is seen around $S = 7$ for the gap, while it goes close to zero around $S = 5 - 6.5$. At $S = 5 - 6$ the chiral condensate starts to consistently become different from zero. It should be noted in this case that $2\Sigma_1^{128}/\Sigma_1^{64} - 1$ never becomes larger than $37\% \pm 10\%$. Σ is scaled by 0.1. The black constant line is defined in the caption of Fig. 10.




FIG. 16: Parameterization B: Debye mass M_d as a function of action $S = 8\pi^2/g^2$ or temperature T/T_c .

ing, and also the densities of the M and L type dyons does not become equal, even at the lowest T we studied. All of these features make exact determination of T_c difficult and definition-dependent.

It is important to note, that the repulsive core between the dyons of the same type is essential for these results. For the Polyakov loop expectations value, the core ensures that the holonomy is pushed towards smaller M dyons as density increases, thus making the Polyakov loop expectation value smaller, instead of creating a clump of only M dyons. For the chiral condensate it is important to obtain configurations where the separation from L to \bar{L} dyons are of the same size between the

closest dyons, such that the determinant goes from being diagonal dominated between dyon-antidyon pairs to a collective liquid instead.

While the model itself can definitely be improved – especially the hopping matrix elements can be defined more accurately – the overall mechanism for obtaining confinement and chiral symmetry breaking appears to be very solid, and should not be qualitatively affected by small changes in the interactions. The extensions of the model to other values of N_c, N_f are straightforward, and we expect to be able to do so in the near future. Another obvious direction of improvement is larger systems, better statistical accuracy and better control over large volume and quark mass extrapolations.

Acknowledgments.

This work was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science under Contract No. DE-FG-88ER40388.

Appendix A: The hopping amplitudes

We follow [15] and use a simple interpolation formula

$$T_{ij} = c \frac{e^{-\bar{v}r/2}}{\sqrt{1 + \bar{v}r/2}}, \quad (\text{A1})$$

where $\bar{v} = 2\pi T - v$. Based on a change of variable it has been found that the constant c should depend on

holonomy as \bar{v} which gives

$$T_{ij} = \bar{v}c' \frac{e^{-\bar{v}r/2}}{\sqrt{1 + \bar{v}r/2}}, \quad (\text{A2})$$

Of course there are many other ways one can choose T_{ij} such that it in the large r limit on a log scale goes as $\bar{v}/2$. We therefore tried to obtain the shape and constant c' from doing first order perturbation theory.

By doing a first order correction to the energy, it was found that the factor c was dependent on the orientation of the Dirac string, since it was not fixed. The overlap without the gauge transformation was therefore used to understand the shape. The integral done was

$$\int d^3x \psi(r_2) \psi(r_1) \left(\frac{H(r_1)}{2} + K(r_1) \right), \quad (\text{A3})$$

where H and K are the part of A_4 and A_i respectively that only depends on distance and not direction. The shape found to correspond very well to the integral was

$$T_{ij} = \bar{v}c' \exp \left(-\sqrt{11.2 + (\bar{v}r/2)^2} \right). \quad (\text{A4})$$

We will therefore also look into what kind of effect this choice of T_{ij} has.

We compare the two choices in Fig. 17.

-
- [1] A. A. Belavin, A. M. Polyakov, A. S. Schwartz and Yu. S. Tyupkin, Phys. Lett. B **59**, 85 (1975).
 - [2] T. C. Kraan and P. van Baal, Phys. Lett. B **435**, 389 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9806034].
 - [3] K. -M. Lee and C. -h. Lu, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 025011 (1998) [hep-th/9802108].
 - [4] P. Gerhold, E.-M. Ilgenfritz and M. Muller-Preussker, Nucl. Phys. B **760**, 1 (2007) [hep-ph/0607315].
 - [5] D. Diakonov, *Topology and Confinement*, arXiv:0906.2456v1 (2009), D. Diakonov and V. Petrov, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 056001 (2007) arXiv:0704.3181
 - [6] M. Unsal and L. G. Yaffe, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 065035 (2008) [arXiv:0803.0344 [hep-th]];
 - [7] E. Poppitz, T. Schfer and M. Unsal, JHEP **1210**, 115 (2012) [arXiv:1205.0290 [hep-th]];
 - [8] E. Poppitz and M. Unsal, JHEP **1107** (2011) 082 [arXiv:1105.3969 [hep-th]].
 - [9] E. Poppitz, T. Schafer and M. Unsal, JHEP **1303**, 087 (2013) [arXiv:1212.1238].
 - [10] E. Shuryak and T. Sulejmanpasic, Phys. Lett. B **726**, 257 (2013) [arXiv:1305.0796 [hep-ph]].
 - [11] R. Larsen and E. Shuryak, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 9, 094022 (2015) [arXiv:1504.03341 [hep-ph]].
 - [12] R. Larsen and E. Shuryak, Nucl. Phys. A **950**, 110 (2016) [arXiv:1408.6563 [hep-ph]].
 - [13] Y. Liu, E. Shuryak and I. Zahed, arXiv:1503.03058 [hep-ph].
 - [14] T. Schafer and E. V. Shuryak, Rev. Mod. Phys. **70**, 323 (1998) [arXiv:hep-ph/9610451].
 - [15] E. Shuryak and T. Sulejmanpasic, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 036001 (2012) [arXiv:1201.5624 [hep-ph]];
 - [16] P. Faccioli and E. Shuryak, Phys. Rev. D **87**, no. 7, 074009 (2013) [arXiv:1301.2523 [hep-ph]]. M. Unsal, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 065001 (2009) [arXiv:0709.3269 [hep-th]];
 - [17] Y. Liu, E. Shuryak and I. Zahed, arXiv:1503.09148 [hep-ph].
 - [18] J. J. M. Verbaarschot, arXiv:0910.4134 [hep-th].
 - [19] V. Dick, F. Karsch, E. Laermann, S. Mukherjee and S. Sharma, Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 9, 094504 (2015) [arXiv:1502.06190 [hep-lat]].
 - [20] O. Kaczmarek and F. Zantow, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 114510 (2005) [hep-lat/0503017].




FIG. 17: The matrix element of the hopping matrix, as $-\log(T_{ij})$ versus the distance, in units of \bar{v} . The two different curves corresponds to Eq. A1 and A4, respectively.